



### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Office Action of August 11, 2006, Applicant has amended the claims in the Application.


Claims 3, 4, 6, 7-12, 14, and 17 are now cancelled by the above amendments. Claim 16 was allowed (Office Action at 5), and Applicant therefore requests that claim 16 issue. New claims 18-25 depend from claim 16 and contain subject matter that was previously presented in dependent claims that have been cancelled. Accordingly, these new dependent claims do not introduce new matter and fall within the scope of allowed claim 16. Because claim 16 was allowed, Applicant believes that new claims 18-25 are also in condition for allowance.

### CONCLUSION

Applicant believes that the application is in condition for allowance and requests issuance of the application. This is intended to be a complete response to the Office Action mailed August 11, 2006. The Examiner is invited to contact the attorneys listed below should any questions arise concerning this response.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

  
Phillip L. Free, Jr., Reg. No. 38,143  
David M. Sullivan, Reg. No. 51,025  
Marie S. Johnston, Reg. No. 56,385  
Crowe & Dunlevy, P.C.  
20 N. Broadway, Suite 1800  
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102-8273  
Tel: (405) 235-7700  
Fax: (405) 239-6651